INTRODUCTION
Spatio-temporal gait analysis is commonly used to identify and quantify gait abnormalities in clinical settings. Among the tools available the GAITRite® instrumented walkway offers ease of use, portability, and absence of need of patient instrumentation. Several studies [1,2,4-8] have analysed the reliability and validity of this system, concluding for most of them that the GAITRite® is a valid and reliable tool. Some controversial issues remain [1,2,4] concerning the validity of spatial parameters. This study aimed at establishing a large database of normal spatio-temporal gait parameters and verifying the concurrent validity and long-term test-retest reliability of the GAITRite® system.

METHODS
We recruited 100 healthy (SF-12 questionnaire) subjects (informed consent and ethics committee approval), aged 15 to 80 years, which were requested to perform 7 (5 at preferred speed and 2 at fast speed [6]) gait trials, barefoot, on a GAITRite instrumented walkway (Platinum model, 6.10 m long). The participants were divided according to six age categories, 10 men and 10 women per group, except for the age groups above 60 years, where N = 10. Concurrent validity (simultaneous sampling by an 8-camera Vicon 612 system and 2 AMTI force plates) was evaluated for 8 subjects. Long-term test-retest reliability (3 to 4 months) was evaluated for 10 subjects.

A repeated-measures ANOVA was used to evaluate the effect of velocity, age, side and gender on spatio-temporal gait parameters. Reliability and validity were quantified using the Bland and Altman method [9].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Concurrent validity can be considered excellent. Mean differences (MD) averaged 0.5% of the mean value at preferred speed (SE = 0.6%) and 0.7% at fast speed (SE = 1.6%). For 2 of the 12 comparisons at preferred speed and 4 at fast speed, MD exceeded 2% of the mean. Long-term reliability can be considered good. Mean differences were 0.8% (SE 1.8%) on average at preferred speed and 3.3% (SE 3.2%) at fast speed. At fast speed, temporal parameters were less reliable than spatial ones, as was the toe in/out angle. These results confirm those of previous studies [1-3,5-8] and provide additional information regarding long-term reliability and concurrent validity. As opposed to previous work, neither for validity nor for reliability was a significant bias found [10].

A normative database was constituted from the main study data. No significant right/left asymmetry was observed. The results for the main spatio-temporal parameters are presented in fig. 1 and table 1. The results were in agreement with previous findings [1,2,5-7].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Velocity (m/s)</th>
<th>Fast speed</th>
<th>Gender effect</th>
<th>Age effect</th>
<th>Velocity effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preferred speed</td>
<td>1.3 (0.2)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step length (m)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.12)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stride length (m)</td>
<td>1.35 (0.18)</td>
<td>1.63 (0.24)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support base (m)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.03)</td>
<td>F&lt;M</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toe in/out angle (°)</td>
<td>5.7 (5.4)</td>
<td>4.8 (5.0)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Average (SD) values of some spatio-temporal gait parameters and effects (ANOVA) of velocity, gender and age.

CONCLUSIONS
Excellent validity of the GAITRite® system for measuring spatio-temporal gait parameters was shown. Its long-term reliability is reasonable for clinical applications. This study enabled to clarify, in a larger healthy sample, the effects of age, gender and velocity on spatio-temporal gait parameters. The normal database that was constituted will be used as a reference for clinical applications.
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